



## Fair Assessment Practices: Giving Students Equitable Opportunities to Demonstrate Learning

Linda Suskie



[Home](#)

**Volume 14:**  
**Spring 2002**

### CONTENTS

[Introduction:  
Examining  
Performance](#)

**Fair Assessment  
Practices: Giving  
Students Equitable  
Opportunities to  
Demonstrate  
Learning**

[Assessing Oral  
Communication at  
the Community  
Learning Center  
Development of  
the OPT \(Oral  
Proficiency Test\)](#)

[So What IS a BOVI,  
Anyway And how  
it can change your  
\(assessing\) life?](#)

[A Writing Rubric  
to Assess ESL  
Student  
Performance](#)

[Illuminating  
Understanding:  
Performance  
Assessment in  
Mathematics](#)

[Student Health  
Education Teams  
in Action](#)

I am a terrible bowler. On a good night, I break 100. (For those of you who have never bowled, the highest possible score is 300 and a score below 100 is plain awful.) This is a source of great frustration for me. I've taken a bowling class, so I know how I'm supposed to stand and move, hold the ball and release it. Yet despite my best efforts to make my arms and legs move the same way everytime, the ball only rarely rolls where it's supposed to. Why, I wonder, can't my mind make my body perform the way I want it to, every time I roll the ball?

If we can't always control our bodily movements, we certainly can't always control what goes on in our heads. Sometimes we write and speak brilliantly; sometimes we're at a loss for words. Sometimes we have great ideas; sometimes we seem in a mental rut. Is it any wonder, then, that assessment—finding out what our students have learned—is such a challenge? Because of fluctuations in what's going on inside our heads, we inconsistently and imperfectly tell our students what we want them to do. Because of similar fluctuations in what's going on in our students' heads, coupled with cultural differences and the challenges of interpersonal communication, they can't always fully interpret what we've told them as we intended them to, and they can't always accurately communicate to us what they know. We receive their work, but because of the same factors, we can't always interpret accurately what they've given us.

A colleague who's a chemist throws up his hands at all this. Having obtained controlled results in a laboratory, he finds assessment so full of imprecision that, he says, we can never have confidence in our findings. But to me this is what makes assessment so fascinating. The answers aren't there in black and white; we have, instead, a puzzle. We gather clues here and there, and from them try to infer an answer to one of the most important questions that educators face: What have our students truly learned?

### Seven Steps to Fair Assessment

[in Action](#)

[Involving Learners  
in Assessment  
Research](#)

[WMass  
Assessment  
Group-Tackling  
the Sticky Issues](#)

If we are to draw reasonably good conclusions about what our students have learned, it is imperative that we make our assessments—and our uses of the results—as fair as possible for as many students as possible. A fair assessment is one in which students are given equitable opportunities to demonstrate what they know (Lam, 1995). Does this mean that all students should be treated exactly the same? No! Equitable assessment means that students are assessed using methods and procedures most appropriate to them. These may vary from one student to the next, depending on the student's prior knowledge, cultural experience, and cognitive style. Creating custom-tailored assessments for each student is, of course, largely impractical, but nevertheless there are steps we can take to make our assessment methods as fair as possible.

1. *Have clearly stated learning outcomes* and share them with your students, so they know what you expect from them. Help them understand what your most important goals are. Give them a list of the concepts and skills to be covered on the midterm and the rubric you will use to assess their research project.

2. *Match your assessment to what you teach* and vice versa. If you expect your students to demonstrate good writing skills, don't assume that they've entered your course or program with those skills already developed. Explain how you define good writing, and help students develop their skills.

3. *Use many different measures and many different **kinds** of measures.* One of the most troubling trends in education today is the increased use of a high-stakes assessment—often a standardized multiple-choice test—as the sole or primary factor in a significant decision, such as passing a course, graduating, or becoming certified. Given all we know about the inaccuracies of any assessment, how can we say with confidence that someone scoring, say, a 90 is competent and someone scoring an 89 is not? An assessment score should not dictate decisions to us; we should make them, based on our professional judgement as educators, after taking into consideration information from a broad variety of assessments.

Using "many different measures" doesn't mean giving your students eight multiple-choice tests instead of just a midterm and final. We know now that students learn and demonstrate their learning in many different ways. Some learn best by reading and writing, others through collaboration with peers, others through listening, creating a schema or design, or hands-on practice. There is evidence that learning styles may vary by culture (McIntyre, 1996), as different ways of thinking are valued in different cultures (Gonzalez, 1996). Because all assessments favor some learning styles over others it's important to give students a variety of ways to demonstrate what

they've learned.

4. *Help students learn how to do the assessment task.* My assignments for student projects can run three single-spaced pages, and I also distribute copies of good projects from past classes. This may seem like overkill, but the quality of my students' work is far higher than when I provided less support.

Students with poor test-taking skills may need your help in preparing for a high-stakes examination; low achievers and those from disadvantaged backgrounds are particularly likely to benefit (Scruggs & Mastropieri, 1995). Performance-based assessments are not necessarily more equitable than tests; disadvantaged students are likely to have been taught through rote memorization, drill, and practice (Badger, 1999). Computer-based assessments, meanwhile, penalize students from schools without an adequate technology infrastructure (Russell & Haney, 2000). The lesson is clear: No matter what kind of assessment you are planning, at least some of your students will need your help in learning the skills needed to succeed.

5. *Engage and encourage your students.* The performance of "field-dependent" students, those who tend to think more holistically than analytically, is greatly influenced by faculty expressions of confidence in their ability (Anderson, 1988). Positive contact with faculty may help students of non-European cultures, in particular, achieve their full potential (Fleming, 1998).

6. *Interpret assessment results appropriately.* There are several approaches to interpreting assessment results; choose those most appropriate for the decision you will be making. One common approach is to compare students against their peers. While this may be an appropriate frame of reference for choosing students for a football team or an honor society, there's often little justification for, say, denying an A to a student solely because 11 percent of the class did better. Often it's more appropriate to base a judgement on a standard: Did the student present compelling evidence? summarize accurately? make justifiable inferences? This standards-based approach is particularly appropriate when the student must meet certain criteria in order to progress to the next course or be certified.

If the course or program is for enrichment and not part of a sequence, it may be appropriate to consider growth as well. Does the student who once hated medieval art now love it, even though she can't always remember names and dates? Does another student, once incapable of writing a coherent argument, now do so passably, even if his performance is not yet up to your usual standards?

7. *Evaluate the outcomes of your assessments.* If your students don't do well on a particular assessment, ask them why. Sometimes your question or prompt isn't clear; sometimes you may find that you simply didn't teach a concept well. Revise your assessment tools, your pedagogy, or both, and your assessments are bound to be fairer the next time that you use them.

## **Spreading the Word**

Much of this thinking has been with us for decades, yet it is still not being implemented by many faculty and administrators at many institutions. Our challenge, then, is to make the fair and appropriate use of assessments ubiquitous. What can we do to achieve this end?

- *Help other higher education professionals learn about fair assessment practices.* Some doctoral programs offer future faculty studies in pedagogy and assessment; others do not. Encourage your institution to offer professional development opportunities to those faculty and administrators who have not had the opportunity to study teaching, learning, and assessment methods.
- *Encourage disciplinary and other professional organizations to adopt fair assessment practice statements.* A number of organizations have already adopted such statements, which can be used as models. Models include statements adopted by the Center for Academic Integrity (McCabe & Pavela, 1997); the Conference on College Composition and Communication (1995); the Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation (1994); the Joint Committee on Testing Practices (1988); the National Council on Measurement in Education (1995); and the first National Symposium on Equity and Educational Testing and Assessment (Linn, 1999); as well as AAHE (1996). (See Assessment Policies, below).
- *Speak out when you see unfair assessment practices.* Call for the validation of assessment tools, particularly those used for high-stakes decisions. Advise sponsors of assessment practices that violate professional standards, and offer to work with them to improve their practices.
- *Help improve our assessment methods.* Sponsor and participate in research that helps create fairer assessment tools and validate existing ones. Collaborate with assessment sponsors to help them improve their assessment tools and practices. Help develop feasible alternatives to high-stakes tests.
- *Help find ways to share what we already know.* Through research, we have already discovered a great deal about how to help students learn and how to assess them optimally. With most of us too busy to read all that's out there our challenge is finding effective ways to

disseminate what has been learned and put research into practice.

As we continue our search for fairness in assessment, we may well be embarking on the most exhilarating stage of our journey. New tools such as rubrics, computer simulations, electronic portfolios, and Richard Haswell's minimal marking system (1983) are giving us exciting, feasible alternatives to traditional paper-and-pencil tests. The individually custom-tailored assessments that seem hopelessly impractical now may soon become a reality. In a generation—maybe less—it's possible that we will see a true revolution in how we assess student learning, with assessments that are fairer for all . . . but only if we all work toward making that possible.

---

*When this article was written, Linda Suskie was director of AAHE's Assessment Forum, and assistant to the president for special projects at Millersville University of Pennsylvania.*

### **Assessment Policies**

Several organizations have developed statements that include references to fair assessment practices. Some are available online:

Code of Fair Testing Practices in Education by the Joint Committee on Testing Practices, National Council on Measurement in Education  
[ericae.net/code.txt](http://ericae.net/code.txt)

Code of Professional Responsibilities in Educational Measurement by the National Council on Measurement in Education  
[www.natd.org/Code\\_of\\_Professional\\_Responsibilities.html](http://www.natd.org/Code_of_Professional_Responsibilities.html)

Leadership Statement of Nine Principles on Equity in Educational Testing and Assessment by the first National Symposium on Equity and Educational Testing, North Central Regional Educational Laboratory  
[www.ncrel.org/sdrs/areas/issues/content/cntareas/math/ma1newst.htm](http://www.ncrel.org/sdrs/areas/issues/content/cntareas/math/ma1newst.htm)

Nine Principles of Good Practice for Assessing Student Learning by the American Association for Higher Education  
[www.aahe.org/princip1.htm](http://www.aahe.org/princip1.htm)

Writing Assessment: A Position Statement by the Conference on College Composition and Communication  
<http://www.ncte.org/ccc/12/sub/state6.html>

## References

- American Association for Higher Education. (1996, July 25). Nine principles of good practice for assessing student learning [Online]. Available: <http://www.aahe.org/assessment/principi.htm>
- Anderson, J. A. (1988). Cognitive styles and multicultural populations. *Journal Teacher Education*, 24(1), 2-9.
- Badger, E. (1999). Finding one's voice: A model for more equitable assessment. In A. L.
- Nettles & M. T. Nettles (Eds.), *Measuring up: Challenges minorities face in educational assessment* (pp. 53-69). Boston: Kluwer.
- Conference on College Composition and Communication. (1995). Writing assessment: A position statement [Online]. Available: <http://www.ncte.org/cc/12/sub/state6.html>
- Fleming, J. (1998). Correlates of the SAT in minority engineering students: An exploratory study. *Journal of Higher Education*, 69, 89-108.
- Gonzalez, V. (1996). Do you believe in intelligence? Sociocultural dimensions of intelligence assessment in majority and minority students. *Educational Horizons*, 75, 45-52.
- Haswell, R. H. (1983). Minimal marking. *College English*, 45, 600-604.
- Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation. (1994). *The program evaluation standards: How to assess evaluations of educational programs* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Joint Committee on Testing Practices. (1988). *Code of fair testing practices in education* [Online]. Available: <http://ericae.net/code.txt>
- Lam, T. C. M. (1995). Fairness in performance assessment: *ERIC digest* [Online]. Available: <http://ericae.net/db/edo/ED391982.htm> (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 391 982)
- Linn, R. L. (1999). Validity standards and principles on equity in educational testing and assessment. In A. L. Nettles & M. T. Nettles, (Eds.), *Measuring up: Challenges minorities face in educational assessment* (pp. 13-31). Boston: Kluwer.
- McCabe D I. & Pavela G (1997 December) The principled nursuit

of academic integrity. *AAHE Bulletin*, 50(4), 11-12.

McIntyre, T. (1996). Does the way we teach create behavior disorders in culturally different students? *Education and Treatment of Children*, 19, 354-70.

National Council on Measurement in Education. (1995). *Code of professional responsibilities in educational measurement* [Online].

Available:

[http://www.natd.org/Code\\_of\\_Professional\\_Responsibilities.html](http://www.natd.org/Code_of_Professional_Responsibilities.html)

Russell, M., & Haney, T. (2000, March 28). Bridging the gap between testing and technology in schools. *Education Policy Analysis Archives* [Online serial], 8(19). Available: <http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v8n19.html>

Scruggs, T. E., & Mastropieri, M. A. (1995). *Teaching test-taking skills: Helping students show what they know*. Cambridge MA: Brookline Books.

This article was reprinted from the May AAHE Bulletin (American Association for Higher Education) and published in *Adventures in Assessment*, Volume 14 (Spring 2002), SABES/World Education, Boston, MA, Copyright 2002.

Funding support for the publication of this document on the Web provided in part by the Ohio State Literacy Resource Center as part of the [LINCS Assessment Special Collection](#).

#### **Volume 14 Table of Contents**

| [SABES Home Page](#) | [SABES Resources](#) | [SABES Calendar](#) | [Other Web Sites](#) |